Veerle De Bosscher, Hans Westerbeek, Simon Shibli, Maarten van Bottenburg









INSTITUTE OF SPORT, EXERCISE AND ACTIVE LIVING



WINNING THE GOLD WAR: An international comparison of elite sport policies in 15 nations



Content



1)10 key points in 9 pillars 2)Pillar overview 3)Country overview 4)6 conclusions

Outputs: success summer sports 2009-2012





Outputs: success winter sports, 2009-2012

Market share of medals, Winter sports (OG + WC), 2009-2012



Market share summer sports 2009-2012 (OG, WC - MC%)

infostradaspor

group



More MONEY IN (does not automatically) equal more MEDALS OUT

PILLAR 18 CSFs9 SUB-FACTORS



















How much do countries spend on elite sport? (incl NOC)



More money More medals? (Summer)



More money More medals? (Winter)



Elite sport expenditures

Increase in funding ... increase in success?



Increase in funding ... increase in success?





2. More efficiently organised countries perform better

PILLAR 2 18 CSFs 119 SUB-FACTORS



















Pillar 2: organisation, governance & structure

r_s = 0.720 (summer); 0.685 (winter) **



Pillar 2: key success ingredients

- A fulltime management staff at the NSA responsible for elite sport development*
- 2. Strong coordination of all activities and financial inputs*
 - Not the countries with the most centralized approach perform best, but those who coordinate activities most and collaborate with different partners.
 - (only) one organisation responsible for elite sport
- 3. Involvement of stakeholders in elite sport policies*
 - Policy of the NSA is regularly evaluated with athletes, coaches, performance directors PRIOR and AFTER policy takes place (winter sports only)
 - Athletes and coaches are represented in the decision making process of the NSA (winter sports only)

Pillar 2: key success ingredients

4. There is a formal objective and transparant measurement instrument to evaluate the NGB/federations funding criteria, undertaken by an independent organisation* (winter sports only)

Almost all countries have high scores on:

- 5. Long-term planning of elite sport policies
- 6. Communication with athletes, coaches and performance directors



3. A broad participation base is not required for achieving international sporting success ...

but it may influence success on the long-term because of the continuous supply of young talent and the higher level of training



Pillar 3: Sport Participation



SP

 Hardly any significant relationship with success and

 (a) Physical education
 (b) Sports participation
 (c) Quality in sports clubs

2) Best scores in Switzerland, Denmark, France and Finland Worst scores in Brazil and South Korea

 Note: the scores do not differ much; also countries with weaker performances in international competition, can have high sports participation



4. Talent identification and - development (Pillar 4) is still an under-developed area and is better developed in smaller countries



INSTITUTE OF SPORT, EXERCISE AND ACTIVE LIVING

Pillar 4: Talent identification and development





- 5. There is an increasing holistic approach towards the athletic career;
 - The need for post career is still under-estimated



Pillar 5: athletic and post athletic career support



Pillar 5: KEY SUCCESS INGREDIENTS

1. Athletes' monthly income (total gross annual income) in general and income from their sport activities is sufficient (fulltime) *



- 70% of the top 16 athletes indicates to receive a monthly salary from their sport activities
- 25% of the <u>fulltime</u> top 16 athletes still indicated to earn less than 10,000 euros a year with their sport



2. A coordinated support programme for elite athletes (apart from financial support)





Pillar 5: Post career support... still under-estimated

- Receives the lowest average score of all CSFs
- Countries merely focus on support services DURING the career and tend to under-estimate the support AFTER the athletes' careers





6. Coach provision and coach development

"if you have the ingredients, you still don't have a good recipe; how you bring the ingredients together is what counts" (Sturkenboom, 2006)



Pillar 7: KEY SUCCESS INGREDIENTS

- A sufficiently high monthly income to provide a good standard of living*
- 2. A well developed coach education system
- 3. Services for the continuous professional **development** of coaches
- 4. A sufficient **number** of elite coaches are qualified
- 5. A strategy for NGBs to **attract the world's best coaches**
- 6. Transfer of knowledge, communication and inter-disciplinary collaboration*: specialist advice from other areas (psychology, nutrition, physiology, biomechanics, data analysis)
- A coordinated support programme for elite sport coaching to be a full time primary activity
- 8. A written work contract for training activities
- 9. An updated **database** of coaches and elite coaches and their qualifications

Pillar 7: Coach provision and coach development



7. A network of sufficient high quality NTC's with fulltime access for athletes is highly valued (Pillar 6)

- 8. Countries with a higher level of planning/coordination of international events do not necessarily organise more events (Pillar 8)
- 9. Scientific research, sport science ... in the future even a stronger area of competitive advantage (Pillar 9)

PILLAR 6	9 CSFs	84 SUB-FACTORS
PILLAR 8	7 CSFs	100 SUB-FACTORS
PILLAR 9	9 CSFs	65 SUB-FACTORS

	P6
FRA*	62%
AUS	65%
JAP	74%
KOR*	49%
NED	59%
ESP	73%
CAN	63%
BRA	26%
DEN	57%
SUI	49%
FIN	51%
N-IRL	42%
EST*	55%*
FLA	57%
POR	59%
WAL	47%

P8	P9
51%	60%
49%	90%
78%	75%
57%*	59%
54%	53%
67%	37%
62%	68%
53%	28%
63%	47%
45%	49%
63%	53%
40%	31%
48%*	38%*
46%	52%
53%	35%
36%	23%

HIGH



PILLAR OVERVIEW

















Universiteit Utrecht

						LO	Ŵ	Level of development		HIGH		
Summer	Winter		P1	P2	Р3	Р4	Р5	P6	P7	P8	Р9	
4,30%	4,38%	FRA*										
4,10%	1,21%	AUS										
3,90%	1,96%	JAP										
2,40%	6,59%	KOR*										
1,80%	4,83%	NED										
1,70%	0,00%	ESP										
1,50%	12,27%	CAN										
1,40%	0,00%	BRA										
0,70%	0,09%	DEN										
0,60%	3,22%	SUI										
0,30%	2,52%	FIN										
0,25%	0,00%	N-IRL										
0,20%	0,10%	EST*										
0,20%	0,19%	FLA										
0,15%	0,00%	POR										
0.20%	0.00%	WAL										

				<u>, (</u>	ATION	ATON		ŝ	, es	ŝ	TION
			EINA	NCCREAN	START	CIP' TAL	ent ath	FILERCI	LITIL OF	CHES NP	Elligestar
Summer	Winter		P1	р2	х. РЗ	P4	, Р5	P6	P7	P8	т. Р9
4,30%	4,38%	FRA*	70%	37%	53%	38%	66%	62%	67%	51%	60%
4,10%	1,21%	AUS	61%	64%	48%	43%	76%	65%	67%	49%	90%
3,90%	1,96%	JAP	62%	58%	39%	45%	66%	74%	61%	78%	75%
2,40%	6,59%	KOR*	70%	47%	33%	43%*	54%	49%	57%*	57%*	59%
1,80%	4,83%	NED	45%	69%	49%	54%	78%	59%	57%	54%	53%
1,70%	0,00%	ESP	58%	50%	39%	48%	76%	73%	54%	67%	37%
1,50%	12,27%	CAN	55%	58%	46%	16%	64%	63%	75%	62%	68%
1,40%	0,00%	BRA	64%	38%	17%	12%	37%	26%	24%	53%	28%
0,70%	0,09%	DEN	28%	53%	63%	47%	63%	57%	52%	63%	47%
0,60%	3,22%	SUI	46%	58%	61%	66%	60%	49%	64%	45%	49%
0,30%	2,52%	FIN	37%	47%	55%	36%	68%	51%	57%	63%	53%
0,25%	0,00%	N-IRL	31%	42%	24%	40%	54%	42%	54%	40%	31%
0,20%	0,10%	EST*	26%	34%*	NA	40%*	34%*	55%*	34%*	48%*	38%*
0,20%	0,19%	FLA	41%	47%	41%	63%	66%	57%	53%	46%	52%
0,15%	0,00%	POR	26%	34%	43%	35%	49%	59%	49%	53%	35%
0,20%	0,00%	WAL	34%	36%	39%	46%	54%	47%	39%	36%	23%

~		TION	ATON	Å	5	ç.,	.5	TION	\$
FINANC	ORGAN	PARTICI	TALENT	ATHLET	FACILITY	COA	COMPE	RESEA	₽C.

Summer

FRA*

AUS

JAP

KOR*

NED

ESP

CAN

BRA

DEN

SUI

FIN

N-IRL

EST*

FLA

POR

WAL

4,30% 4,10% 3,90% 2,40% 1,80% 1,70% 1,50% 1,40% 0,70% 0,60% 0,30% 0,25% 0,20% 0,20%

0,15%

0,20%

Ρ	1	P2	Р3	Р4	Р5	P6	P7	P8	P9
70	%	37%	53%	38%	66%	62%	67%	51%	60%
61	%	64%	48%	43%	76%	65%	67%	49%	90%
62	%	58%	39%	45%	66%	74%	61%	78%	75%
45	%	69%	49%	54%	78%	59%	57%	54%	53%

			L.	ATION	OATON		45	JES	25	rition c
		FINA	NC. BCAN	USA PARTI	jir TAI	ENT ATH	ET FAC		ACHE-ONPE	RESEARC
Winter		Р1	о ^х Р2	РЗ	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9
4,38%	FRA*									
1,21%	AUS									
1,96%	JAP									
6,59%	KOR*									
4,83%	NED	45%	69%	49%	54%	78%	59%	57%	54%	53%
0,00%	ESP									
12,27%	CAN	55%	58%	46%	16%	64%	63%	75%	62%	68%
0,00%	BRA									
0,09%	DEN									
3,22%	SUI	46%	58%	61%	66%	60%	49%	64%	45%	49%
2,52%	FIN	37%	47%	55%	36%	68%	51%	57%	63%	53%
0,00%	N-IRL									
0,10%	EST*									
0,19%	FLA									
0,00%	POR									
0,00%	WAL									

				L.	ATION	OATON		45	JES .	6	THON	à
			CINA	ACT GAR	NISK ARTI	in ral	ent ath	LET FAC		CHE ONP	ELLOESEAR	Ļ
			X ²	OR	Υ'		×				<i>K</i> ,	
Summer	Winter		P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9	
4,30%	4,38%	FRA*										
4,10%	1,21%	AUS										
3,90%	1,96%	JAP										
2,40%	6,59%	KOR*										
1,80%	4,83%	NED										
1,70%	0,00%	ESP										
1,50%	12,27%	CAN										
1,40%	0,00%	BRA	64%	38%	17%	12%	37%	26%	24%	53%	28%	
0,70%	0,09%	DEN										
0,60%	3,22%	SUI										
0,30%	2,52%	FIN										
0,25%	0,00%	N-IRL	31%	42%	24%	40%	54%	42%	54%	40%	31%	
0,20%	0,10%	EST*	26%	34%*	NA	40%*	34%*	55%*	34%*	48%*	38%*	
0,20%	0,19%	FLA	41%	47%	41%	63%	66%	57%	53%	46%	52%	
0,15%	0,00%	POR	26%	34%	43%	35%	49%	59%	49%	53%	35%	
0,20%	0,00%	WAL	34%	36%	39%	46%	54%	47%	39%	36%	23%	



Case study BRAZIL vs the NETHERLANDS





















Brazil versus the Netherlands

	Brazil (BRA)	Netherlands (NED)	BRA : NED ratio
Land (sq km)	8,459,417	33,893	250 : 1
Population	210,000,000	16,800,000	13 : 1
GDP (ppp) in billions	\$ 2,362	\$ 710	3.3 : 1
GDP per capita (ppp)	\$ 12,000	\$ 42,300	1:3.5



Brazil versus the Netherlands



The readiness Brazil of the Olympic Games?



Brazil and the Netherlands: 9 pillar scores





10. COUNTRIES HAVE DIFFERENT CONFIGURATIONS OF PILLARS and CSFs



















COUNTRY DIFFERENCES: AUS



COUNTRY DIFFERENCES: AUS-JAP



COUNTRY DIFFERENCES: AUS-JAP-CAN



COUNTRY DIFFERENCES: FLA- WAL



COUNTRY DIFFERENCES: FLA- WAL





6 Conclusions



















1) Is policy important to win medals?!

- Yes, but not all pillars are equally important (on the short-term)
- Yes, but other factors also influence success
- Policy is not a precondition to guarantee success
- ... it can contribute
- ... having the ingredients is not sufficient

2) INPUT - OUTPUT

- More money in equals more medals out ... BUT
- A growth in funding does not lead automatically to a complementary increase of medals

Effective elite sport policies?

3) Pillars related to success

The best performing countries do well in:

- Financial support (Pillar 1)
- Governance, organisation and structure (Pillar 2)
- Sport science, research and innovation (Pillar 9)
- Training facilities (Pillar 6) (most nations)
- (Post) athletic career support (Pillar 5) (most nations)

To a smaller extent:

- Coaches' provision and development (Pillar 7)
- (Inter)national competition (Pillar 8)

Correlations

Spearman's rank correlations of total Pillar scores and Success

	r _s summer	sig	r _s Winter	sig	Ν
Pillar 1	0,929**	0,000	0,594*	0,046	16
Pillar 2	0,720**	0,004	0,685**	0,007	14
Pillar 3	0,049	0,873	0,267	0,377	13
Pillar 4	-0,094	0,737	-0,454	0,118	13
Pillar 5	0,489	0,076	0,385	0,174	14
Pillar 6	0,48	0,080	0,148	0,615	12
Pillar 7	0,350	0,24	0,627*	0,026	11
Pillar 8	0,577*	0,039	0,271	0,370	13
Pillar 9	0,71**	0,004	0,784**	0,001	14

Long-term medal strategy?

4) Pillars 3 (participation) – 4 (talent)

- Nations aiming at short-term success, may prefer investing in other pillars
- Contributes to the development of success in the longer term
- For large countries an area to improve their competitive advantage ... but more complicated

When large nations strategically invest in talentidentification and development, looking at longterm sustainable success ... it will make the prospects of small nations (still) poorer.

Room for diversity

5) Diminishing contrasts and increasing varieties

- Homogeneous elite sport systems ... with room for diversity
- Elite sport models are based on applying similar policy factors to different cultural, social and political contexts ... to design a best fitting model to the unique situation of a country, using different blends of CSFs
- Smaller countries can gain a competitive advantage in different (less expensive?) blends

(benchLEARNING ≠ benchMARKING)

Summer versus winter sports

 6) The relation with success is generally higher in summer sports than in winter sports, except from "coaches" (Pillar 7)

"This may reflect that winter sports are more commercially led than nationally coordinated. The Pillar model – in it's current form- may therefore be less applicable to winter sports"

(→ Phd Weber et al., Swiss Federal Institute of Sport Magglingen SFISM icw Vrije Universiteit Brussel)

THANK YOU

Contact: spliss@vub.ac.be - vdebossc@vub.ac.be

Institutes SPLISS co-ordination



SIRC Sport Industry Research Centre



Universiteit Utrecht



Sponsors & partners











infostradasports group

vdebossc@vub.ac.be - spliss@vub.ac.be

SPLiSS



WINNING THE GOLD WAR:

An international comparison of elite sport policies in 15 nations